

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/774,397 | (| 01/31/2001 | Theresa A. Hadlock | 00786-446001 | 3080 | |
| 26161 | 7590 | 12/01/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & RI | | SON PC | JACKSO | JACKSON, GARY | | |
| 225 FRANK | | 0 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| BOSTON, MA 02110 | | | | 3731 | THE EX HOUSE | |

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

121

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/774,397 | HADLOCK ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Gary Jackson | 3731 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | l be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 5,44 and 50-54. | Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,44 and 50-54</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6-43, 45-49 and 55-59</u> . | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6-43, 45-49 and 55-59</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |

Gary Jackson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3731

10. Other: __